



**Noida
Toll Bridge Co. Ltd.**

May 19, 2025

To
The Manager
Corporate Relations Department
Bombay Stock Exchange Limited
1st Floor, New Trading Ring Rotunda
Building, P J Towers Dalal Street, Fort
Mumbai – 400 001

Scrip Code No. 532481

The Manager
Listing Department
National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange
Plaza, 5th Floor
Plot No. C/1, G Block
Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (E)
Mumbai – 400 051

Scrip Code No. NOIDA TOLL EQ

Sub: *DISCLOSURE UNDER REGULATION 30 OF THE SEBI (LISTING OBLIGATIONS AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS) REGULATIONS, 2015 – Update on Litigation*

Dear Sir/ Madam,

In sequence of our letter dated May 10, 2025 in respect of listing of Review Petition in the matter *Noida Toll Bridge Company Limited Vs. Federation of Noida Residents' Welfare Association & Ors.* please find attached Copy of Order dated 09.05.2025 issued by Hon'ble Supreme Court and received by the Company today i.e. 19.05.2025 wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed the review petition (R.P.(C) No. 944/2025 in C.A. No. 14826/2024).

The details as required under SEBI Listing Regulations read with Circular no. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFDPoD1/P-CIR//2023/123 dated July 13, 2023, are enclosed as Annexure A.

The impact on the business is yet to be ascertained and the Company will find a way forward in consultation with appropriate office.

This is for your information and records.

Thanking You
For **Noida Toll Bridge Company Limited**

GAGAN
SINGHAL
Digitally signed by
GAGAN SINGHAL
Date: 2025.05.19
15:19:15 +05'30'

Gagan Singhal
Company Secretary & Compliance Officer

Encl.: A/a

Annexure-A

Sl. No.	Particulars	Remarks
1.	Name of the Authority	Hon'ble Supreme Court of India
1.	Nature and details of the action(s) taken, initiated or order(s) passed	Hon'ble Supreme Court has dismissed the review petition.
2.	Date of receipt of direction or order, including any ad interim or interim orders, or any other communication from the authority	Judgement pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme court of India yesterday i.e. May 10, 2025.
3.	Details of the violation(s) / contravention(s) committed or alleged to be committed	Challenging the validity of the Concession Agreement between NOIDA, IL&FS and NTBCL
4.	Impact on financial, operation or other activities of the listed entity, quantifiable in monetary terms to the extent possible	Yet to be ascertained Find a way forward in consultation with appropriate office

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.3

SECTION III-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).1373/2025 In in C.A. No.14826/2024

PRADEEP PURI**Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****NOIDA TOLL BRIDGE COMPANY LIMITED & ORS.****Respondent(s)****IA No. 7304/2025 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION****IA No. 7308/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT****WITH****R.P.(C) No. 944/2025 in C.A. No. 14826/2024 (III-A)****NOIDA TOLL BRIDGE COMPANY LIMITED****Petitioner(s)****FEDERATION OF NOIDA RESIDENTS WELFARE ASSOCIATION AND ORS.****Respondent(s)****IA No. 17934/2025 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION****IA No. 17931/2025 - ORAL HEARING****Date : 09-05-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.****CORAM :****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN****For Petitioner(s) Dr. Aman Hingorani, Sr. Adv.****Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Adv.****Ms. Madhavi Khanna, Adv.****Mr. Nihaad Dewan, Adv.****For M/S. Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas, AOR****Mr. Piyush Joshi, Adv.****Ms. Sumiti Yadava, Adv.****Mr. Vatsla Bhatia, Adv.****Ms. Sonakshi Malhan, AOR****Respondent(s)****Mr. Gopal Jain, Sr. Adv.****Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Adv.**

Signature Not Verified
Digital signed by
SATISH KUMAR YADAV
Date: 2025.05.11
18:13:02
Reason:

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

R.P. (C) ..Diary No(s).1373/2025 In in C.A. No.14826/2024

1. The application for permission to file the Review Petition is allowed.
2. Issue notice, returnable on 21.05.2025.
3. To be taken up at 3.00 P.M.

R.P.(C) No. 944/2025 in C.A. No. 14826/2024

1. The application for permission to file the Review Petition as well as the application for oral hearing are allowed.
2. Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and carefully perused the material placed on record.
3. No case to entertain the Review Petition is made out.
4. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR